

Lectures on Lie Groups over Local Fields

Helge Glöckner

Abstract

The goal of these notes is to provide an introduction to p -adic Lie groups and Lie groups over fields of Laurent series, with an emphasis on relations to the structure theory of totally disconnected, locally compact topological groups. In particular, we shall discuss the scale, tidy subgroups and contraction groups for automorphisms of Lie groups over local fields. Special attention is paid to the case of Lie groups over local fields of positive characteristic.

Introduction

Lie groups over local fields (notably p -adic Lie groups) are among those totally disconnected, locally compact groups which are both well accessible and arise frequently. For example, they are encountered in connection with the following topics in the theory of locally compact groups:

Contraction groups p -adic Lie groups are among the basic building blocks for totally disconnected contraction groups. In fact, let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact topological group and $\alpha: G \rightarrow G$ be an automorphism which is *contractive*, i.e., $\alpha^n(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $x \in G$. Then the torsion elements form a closed subgroup $\text{tor}(G)$ and

$$G = \text{tor}(G) \times G_{p_1} \times \cdots \times G_{p_n}$$

for certain α -stable p -adic Lie groups G_p (see [27]).

$\mathbb{Z}^n$ -actions If $\mathbb{Z}^n$ acts with a dense orbit on a locally compact group G via automorphisms, then G has a compact normal subgroup K invariant under the action such that

$$G/K \cong \mathbb{L}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{L}_m,$$

where $\mathbb{L}_1, \dots, \mathbb{L}_m$ are local fields of characteristic 0 and the action is diagonal, via scalar multiplication by field elements [10].

Analytic pro- p -groups p -adic Lie groups arise naturally in the theory of pro- p -groups (i.e., compact groups which are projective limits of finite p -groups), and can be characterized among such groups by various properties (e.g., finite rank). See [11], [12] and [37].

It is possible to study Lie groups over local fields from various points of view and on various levels, taking more and more structure into account. At the most basic level, they can be considered as mere topological groups (as in the above examples). Next, we can consider them as analytic Lie groups, enabling us to use ideas from Lie theory and properties of analytic functions. At the highest level, one can focus on those Lie groups which are linear algebraic groups over local fields (in particular, reductive or semi-simple groups), and study them using techniques from the theory of algebraic groups and algebraic geometry.

While much of the literature places the emphasis on algebraic groups, our aims are complementary: We shall emphasize aspects at the borderline between Lie theory and the structure theory of totally disconnected, locally compact groups, as developed in [52], [53] and [3]. In particular, we shall discuss the scale, tidy subgroups and contraction groups for automorphisms of Lie groups over local fields.

For each of these topics, an algebraic group structure does not play a role, but merely the Lie group structure. And closer inspection shows that even an analytic Lie group structure is not really needed: Most of the results discussed apply equally well to smooth Lie groups, and even to Lie groups which are only C^1 or C^2 .

For the reader's convenience, we shall however begin with the conventional framework of analytic Lie groups, and only include the case of C^k -Lie groups in later parts of these notes.

Scope and structure of the lectures. After an introduction to some essentials of Lie theory and calculus over local fields, we discuss the scale, contraction groups, tidy subgroups (and related topics) in three stages:

- In a first step, we consider linear automorphisms of finite-dimensional vector spaces over local fields.

A sound understanding of this special case is essential.

- Next, we turn to automorphisms of p -adic Lie groups.

- Finally, we discuss Lie groups over local fields of positive characteristic and their automorphisms.

For various reasons, the case of positive characteristic is more complicated than the p -adic case, and it is one of our goals to explain which additional ideas are needed to tackle this case.

As usual in an expository article, we shall present many results without proof. However, we have taken care to give at least a sketch of proof for all central results, and to explain the main ideas. In particular, we have taken care to explain carefully the ideas needed to deal with Lie groups over local fields of positive characteristic, and found it appropriate to give slightly more details here because not all of the results are available yet in the published literature.

Mention should be made of what these lectures do not strive to achieve.

First of all, we do not intend to give an introduction to linear algebraic groups over local fields, nor to Bruhat-Tits buildings or to the representation theory of p -adic groups (and harmonic analysis thereon). The reader will find nothing about these topics here.

Second, we shall hardly speak about the theory of analytic pro- p -groups, although this theory is certainly located at a very similar position in the spectrum ranging from topological groups to algebraic groups (one of Lazard's results will be recalled in Section 3, but no later developments). One reason is that we want to focus on aspects related to the structure theory of totally disconnected, locally compact groups—which is designed primarily for the study of non-compact groups.

It is interesting to note that also in the area of analytic pro- p -groups, Lie groups over fields (and suitable pro- p -rings) of positive characteristic are attracting more and more attention. The interested reader is referred to the seminal work [38] and subsequent research (like [1], [2], [8], [33], [34] and the references therein; cf. also [12]).

Acknowledgements. The author was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG), projects GL 357/2-1, GL 357/5-1 and GL 357/6-1. The manuscript is a polished version of lecture notes for a two-hour mini-course on Lie groups over local fields presented at the *Workshop on totally disconnected groups, graphs and geometry* at the Heinrich-Fabri-Institut Blaubeuren in May 2007, which was generously supported by the Volkswagen Foundation.

Table of Contents

1. Basic definitions	5
Local fields	5
Calculation of indices	7
Analytic functions, manifolds and Lie groups	9
Ultrametric inverse function theorem	10
Construction of small open subgroups	12
2. Basic facts concerning p-adic Lie groups	13
3. Lazard's characterization of p-adic Lie groups	17
4. Iteration of linear automorphisms	18
Decomposition of E and adapted norms	18
Contraction group and Levi factor for a linear automorphism	20
Tidy subgroups and the scale of a linear automorphism	21
5. Scale and tidy subgroups for automorphisms of p-adic Lie groups	22
6. p-adic contraction groups	24
7. Pathologies in positive characteristic	25
Non-closed contraction groups	25
An automorphism whose scale cannot be calculated on the Lie algebra level	26
An automorphism which is not locally linear in any chart	26
Existence of non-analytic Lie groups	26
8. Differential calculus over topological fields	27
9. Tools from non-linear analysis: invariant manifolds	29
10. The scale, tidy subgroups and contraction groups in positive characteristic	30
11. The structure of contraction groups in the case of positive characteristic	33
12. Further generalizations to positive characteristic	34
13. Open problems	36

1 Basic definitions

This section compiles elementary definitions and facts concerning local fields, analytic functions and Lie groups.

Basic information on local fields can be found in many books, e.g. [50] and [44]. Our main sources for Lie groups over local fields are [46] and [7].

Local fields

We remind the reader that a *local field* is a totally disconnected, locally compact, non-discrete topological field $\mathbb{K}$. Each local field admits an *ultrametric absolute value* $|\cdot|$ defining its topology, i.e.,

- (a) $|t| \geq 0$ for each $t \in \mathbb{K}$ with equality if and only if $t = 0$;
- (b) $|st| = |s| \cdot |t|$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$;
- (c) The “ultrametric inequality” holds, i.e., $|s + t| \leq \max\{|s|, |t|\}$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{K}$.

For example, one such absolute value is the *natural absolute value* obtained via $|0| := 0$ and

$$|x| := \Delta_{\mathbb{K}}(m_x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\} \quad (1)$$

(cf. [50, Chapter II, §2]), where $m_x: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, $y \mapsto xy$ is scalar multiplication by x and $\Delta_{\mathbb{K}}(m_x)$ its module (the definition of which is recalled in 1.3).

It is known that every local field $\mathbb{K}$ either is a field of formal Laurent series over some finite field (if $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > 0$), or a finite extension of the field of p -adic numbers for some prime p (if $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$). Let us fix our notation concerning these basic examples.

Example 1.1 Given a prime number p , the field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of p -adic numbers is the completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to the p -adic absolute value,

$$\left| p^k \frac{n}{m} \right|_p := p^{-k} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a local field and $|\cdot|_p$ its natural absolute value. Every non-zero element x in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$x = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k p^k$$

with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ and $a_n \neq 0$. Then $|x|_p = p^{-n}$. The elements of the form $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k p^k$ form the subring $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x|_p \leq 1\}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, which is open and also compact, because it is homeomorphic to $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ via $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k p^k \mapsto (a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$.

Example 1.2 Given a finite field $\mathbb{F}$ (with q elements), we let $\mathbb{F}((X)) \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the field of formal Laurent series $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k X^k$ with $a_k \in \mathbb{F}$. Here addition is pointwise, and multiplication is given by the Cauchy product. We give $\mathbb{F}((X))$ the topology arising from the ultrametric absolute value

$$\left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k X^k \right| := q^{-n} \quad \text{if } a_n \neq 0. \quad (2)$$

Then the set $\mathbb{F}[[X]]$ of formal power series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k X^k$ is an open and compact subring of $\mathbb{F}((X))$, and thus $\mathbb{F}((X))$ is a local field. Its natural absolute value is given by (2).

Beyond local fields, we shall occasionally use *ultrametric fields* $(\mathbb{K}, |\cdot|)$. Thus $\mathbb{K}$ is a field and $|\cdot|$ an ultrametric absolute value on $\mathbb{K}$ which defines a non-discrete topology on $\mathbb{K}$. For example, we occasionally use an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ of a local field $\mathbb{K}$ and exploit that an absolute value $|\cdot|$ on $\mathbb{K}$ extends uniquely to an ultrametric absolute value on $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ (see, e.g., [44, Theorem 16.1]). The same notation, $|\cdot|$, will be used for the extended absolute value. An ultrametric field $(\mathbb{K}, |\cdot|)$ is called *complete* if $\mathbb{K}$ is a complete metric space with respect to the metric given by $d(x, y) := |x - y|$.

Basic consequences of the ultrametric inequality

Let $(\mathbb{K}, |\cdot|)$ be an ultrametric field and $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space whose norm is ultrametric in the sense that $\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ for all $x, y \in E$.

Since $|x| = |x + y - y| \leq \max\{|x + y|, |y|\}$, it follows that $|x + y| \geq |x|$ if $|y| < |x|$ and hence

$$|x + y| = |x| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in E \text{ such that } |y| < |x| \quad (3)$$

(“the strongest wins,” [43, p.77]). Hence, small perturbations have much smaller impact in the ultrametric case than they would have in the real case

(a philosophy which will be made concrete below).

The ultrametric inequality has many other useful consequences. For example, consider the balls

$$B_r^E(x) := \{y \in E : \|y - x\| < r\}$$

and

$$\overline{B}_r^E(x) := \{y \in E : \|y - x\| \leq r\}$$

for $x \in E$, $r \in]0, \infty[$. Then $B_r^E(0)$ and $\overline{B}_r^E(0)$ are *subgroups* of E with non-empty interior (and hence are both open and closed). Specializing to $E = \mathbb{K}$, we see that

$$\mathbb{O} := \{t \in \mathbb{K} : |t| \leq 1\} \quad (4)$$

is an open subring of $\mathbb{K}$, its so-called *valuation ring*. If $\mathbb{K}$ is a local field, then $\mathbb{O}$ is a compact subring of $\mathbb{K}$ (which is maximal and hence independent of the choice of absolute value).

Calculation of indices

Indices of compact subgroups inside others are ubiquitous in the structure theory of totally disconnected groups. We therefore perform some basic calculations of indices now. Haar measure λ on a locally compact group G will be used as a tool and also the notion of the *module* of an automorphism, which measures the distortion of Haar measure. We recall:

1.3 Let G be a locally compact group and $\mathcal{B}(G)$ be the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of G . If $\alpha : G \rightarrow G$ is a (bicontinuous) automorphism, then

$$\mathcal{B}(G) \rightarrow [0, \infty], \quad A \mapsto \lambda(\alpha(A))$$

is a left invariant Radon measure on G and hence a multiple of Haar measure: There exists $\Delta(\alpha) > 0$ such that $\lambda(\alpha(A)) = \Delta(\alpha)\lambda(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(G)$. If $U \subseteq G$ is a relatively compact, open, non-empty subset, then

$$\Delta(\alpha) = \frac{\lambda(\alpha(U))}{\lambda(U)} \quad (5)$$

(cf. [29, (15.26)], where however the conventions differ). We also write $\Delta_G(\alpha)$ instead of $\Delta(\alpha)$, if we wish to emphasize the underlying group G .

Remark 1.4 Let U be a compact open subgroup of G . If $U \subseteq \alpha(U)$, with index $[\alpha(U) : U] =: n$, we can pick representatives $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \alpha(U)$ for the left cosets of U in $\alpha(U)$. Exploiting the left invariance of Haar measure, (5) turns into

$$\Delta(\alpha) = \frac{\lambda(\alpha(U))}{\lambda(U)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda(g_j U)}{\lambda(U)} = [\alpha(U) : U]. \quad (6)$$

If $\alpha(U) \subseteq U$, applying (6) to α^{-1} instead of α and $\alpha(U)$ instead of U , we obtain

$$\Delta(\alpha^{-1}) = [U : \alpha(U)]. \quad (7)$$

In the following, the group $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ of invertible $n \times n$ -matrices will frequently be identified with the group $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ of linear automorphisms of $\mathbb{K}^n$.

Lemma 1.5 *Consider a local field $\mathbb{K}$ with natural absolute value $|\cdot|$, and $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$. Then*

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{K}^n}(A) = |\det A| = \prod_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|, \quad (8)$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of A in an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ of $\mathbb{K}$.

Proof. Since both $A \mapsto \Delta_{\mathbb{K}^n}(A)$ and $A \mapsto |\det A|$ are homomorphisms $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow]0, \infty[$, it suffices to check the first equality in (8) for diagonal matrices and elementary matrices of the form $\mathbf{1} + e_{ij}$ with matrix units e_{ij} , where $i \neq j$ (because these generate $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ as a group). In both cases, equality is easily verified using that

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{K}^n}(A) = \frac{\lambda(A(U))}{\lambda(U)} \quad (9)$$

with $U = B_1^{\mathbb{K}}(0)^n$.

The final equality in (8) is clear if all eigenvalues lie in $\mathbb{K}$. For the general case, pick a finite extension $\mathbb{L}$ of $\mathbb{K}$ containing the eigenvalues, and let $d := [\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{K}]$ be the degree of the field extension. Then $\Delta_{\mathbb{L}^n}(A) = (\Delta_{\mathbb{K}^n}(A))^d$. Since the extended absolute value is given by

$$|x| = \sqrt[d]{\Delta_{\mathbb{L}}(m_x)}$$

(see [32, Chapter 9, Theorem 9.8] or [44, Exercise 15.E]), the final equality follows from the special case already treated (applied now to $\mathbb{L}$). $\square$

1.6 For later use, consider a ball $B_r(0) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ with respect to the maximum norm, where $r \in]0, \infty[$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $(p^m, \dots, p^m)$. Then (7) and (8) imply that

$$[B_r(0) : B_{p^{-m}r}(0)] = [B_r(0) : A.B_r(0)] = |\det(A^{-1})| = p^{mn}. \quad (10)$$

Analytic functions, manifolds and Lie groups

Given a local field $(\mathbb{K}, |\cdot|)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we equip $\mathbb{K}^n$ with the maximum norm, $\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| := \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\}$ (the choice of norm does not really matter as all norms are equivalent; see [44, Theorem 13.3]). If $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ is a multi-index, we write $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. We mention that confusion with the absolute value $|\cdot|$ is unlikely; the intended meaning of $|\cdot|$ will be always be clear from the context. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{K}^n$, we abbreviate $y^\alpha := y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n}$, as usual.

Definition 1.7 Given an open subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{K}^n$, a map $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^m$ is called (locally) *analytic* if it is given locally by a convergent power series around each point $x \in U$, i.e.,

$$f(x + y) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} a_\alpha y^\alpha \quad \text{for all } y \in \overline{B}_r^{\mathbb{K}^n}(0),$$

with $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^m$ and some $r > 0$ such that $\overline{B}_r^{\mathbb{K}^n}(x) \subseteq U$ and

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \|a_\alpha\| r^{|\alpha|} < \infty.$$

It can be shown that compositions of analytic functions are analytic [46, Theorem, p.70]. We can therefore define an n -dimensional analytic manifold M over a local field $\mathbb{K}$ in the usual way, namely as a Hausdorff topological space M , equipped with a set $\mathcal{A}$ of homeomorphisms ϕ from open subsets of M onto open subsets of $\mathbb{K}^n$ such that the transition map $\psi \circ \phi^{-1}$ is analytic, for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{A}$.

Analytic maps between analytic manifolds are defined as usual (by checking analyticity in local charts).

A *Lie group* over a local field $\mathbb{K}$ is a group G , equipped with a (finite-dimensional) $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic manifold structure which turns the group multiplication

$$m : G \times G \rightarrow G, \quad m(x, y) := xy$$

and the group inversion

$$j: G \rightarrow G, \quad j(x) := x^{-1}$$

into analytic maps.

Besides the additive groups of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces, the most obvious examples of $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic Lie groups are general linear groups.

Example 1.8 $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K}) = \det^{-1}(\mathbb{K}^\times)$ is an open subset of the space $M_n(\mathbb{K}) \cong \mathbb{K}^{n^2}$ of $n \times n$ -matrices and hence is an n^2 -dimensional $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic manifold. The group operations are rational maps and hence $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic.

More generally, one can show (cf. [39, Chapter I, Proposition 2.5.2]):

Example 1.9 Every (group of $\mathbb{K}$ -rational points of a) linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{K}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic Lie group, viz. every subgroup $G \leq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ which is the set of joint zeros of a set of polynomial functions $M_n(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. For example, $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{K}) = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K}) : \det(A) = 1\}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic Lie group.

Remark 1.10 There are much more general Lie groups than linear Lie groups. E.g., in Remark 10.8 we shall encounter an analytic Lie group G over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_p((X))$ which does not admit a faithful, continuous linear representation $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ for any n .

The Lie algebra functor. If G is a $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic Lie group, then its tangent space $L(G) := T_1(G)$ at the identity element can be made a Lie algebra via the identification of $x \in L(G)$ with the corresponding left invariant vector field on G (noting that the left invariant vector fields form a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{V}^\omega(G)$ of all analytic vector fields on G).

If $f: G \rightarrow H$ is a $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic group homomorphism between $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic Lie groups, then the tangent map $L(f) := T_1(f): L(G) \rightarrow L(H)$ is a linear map and actually a Lie algebra homomorphism (cf. [7, Chapter 3, §3.7 and §3.8], Lemma 5.1 on p. 129 in [46, Part II, Chapter V.1], or also [4, Theorem 9.1]).

Ultrametric inverse function theorem

Since small perturbations do not change the size of a given non-zero vector in the ultrametric case (as “the strongest wins”), the ultrametric

inverse function theorem has a much nicer form than its classical real counterpart. Around a point with invertible differential, an analytic map behaves on balls simply like an affine-linear map (namely its linearization).

In the following three propositions, we let $(\mathbb{K}, |\cdot|)$ be a complete ultrametric field and equip $\mathbb{K}^n$ with any ultrametric norm (e.g., the maximum norm). Given $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ and $r > 0$, we abbreviate $B_r(x) := B_r^{\mathbb{K}^n}(x)$. The total differential of f at x is denoted by $f'(x)$. Now the ultrametric inverse function theorem (for analytic functions) reads as follows:¹

Proposition 1.11 *Let $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$ be a $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic map on an open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{K}^n$ and $x \in U$ such that $f'(x) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$. Then there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_r(x) \subseteq U$,*

$$f(B_s(y)) = f(y) + f'(x).B_s(0) \quad \text{for all } y \in B_r(x) \text{ and } s \in]0, r], \quad (11)$$

and $f|_{B_s(y)}$ is an analytic diffeomorphism onto its open image. If $f'(x)$ is an isometry, then so is $f|_{B_r(x)}$ for small r . $\square$

It is useful that r can be chosen uniformly in the presence of parameters. As a consequence of [17, Theorem 8.1 (b)'] (the C^k -analogue of Proposition 1.12) and Proposition 1.11, the following ultrametric inverse function theorem with parameters is available:

Proposition 1.12 *Let $f: \mathbb{K}^m \times \mathbb{K}^n \supseteq P \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$ be a $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic map defined on an open set, $p \in P$ and $x \in U$ such that $f'_p(x) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$, where $f_p := f(p, \cdot): U \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$. Then there exists an open neighbourhood $Q \subseteq P$ of p and $r > 0$ such that $B_r(x) \subseteq U$ and*

$$f_q(B_s(y)) = f_q(y) + f'_p(x).B_s(0) \quad (12)$$

for all $q \in Q$, $y \in B_r(x)$ and $s \in]0, r]$. If $f'_p(x)$ is an isometry, then also $f_q|_{B_r(x)}$ is an isometry for each $q \in Q$, if Q and r are sufficiently small. $\square$

We mention that the group of linear isometries is large (an open subgroup).

Proposition 1.13 *The group $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ of linear isometries is open in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$. If $\mathbb{K}^n$ is equipped with the maximum norm, then*

$$\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{K}^n) = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{O}) = \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K}): A, A^{-1} \in M_n(\mathbb{O})\},$$

where $\mathbb{O}$ (as in (4)) is the valuation ring of $\mathbb{K}$.

¹A proof is obtained, e.g., by combining [17, Proposition 7.1 (b)'] (the C^1 -analogue of Proposition 1.11) with the version of the inverse function theorem for analytic functions provided in [46, p. 73].

Proof. The subgroup $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ will be open in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ if we can show that it is an identity neighbourhood. The latter is guaranteed if we can prove that $\mathbf{1} + A \in \text{Iso}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ for all $A \in M_n(\mathbb{K})$ of operator norm

$$\|A\|_{\text{op}} := \sup \left\{ \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|} : 0 \neq x \in \mathbb{K}^n \right\} < 1.$$

However, for each $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ we have $\|Ax\| \leq \|A\|_{\text{op}}\|x\| < \|x\|$ and hence $\|(\mathbf{1} + A)x\| = \|x + Ax\| = \|x\|$ by (3), as the strongest wins.

Now assume that $\|\cdot\|$ is the maximum norm on $\mathbb{K}^n$, and $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$. If A is an isometry, then $\|Ae_i\| = 1$ for the standard basis vector e_i with j -th component δ_{ij} , and hence $A \in M_n(\mathbb{O})$. Likewise, $A^{-1} \in M_n(\mathbb{O})$ and hence $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{O})$. If $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{O})$, then $\|Ax\| \leq \|x\|$ and $\|x\| = \|A^{-1}Ax\| \leq \|Ax\|$, whence $\|Ax\| = \|x\|$ and A is an isometry. $\square$

Construction of small open subgroups

Let G be a Lie group over a local field $\mathbb{K}$, and $|\cdot|$ be an absolute value on $\mathbb{K}$ defining its topology. Fix an ultrametric norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $L(G)$ and abbreviate $B_r(x) := B_r^{L(G)}(x)$ for $x \in L(G)$ and $r > 0$. Using a chart $\phi: G \supseteq U \rightarrow V \subseteq L(G)$ around 1 such that $\phi(1) = 0$, the group multiplication gives rise to a multiplication $\mu: W \times W \rightarrow V$, $\mu(x, y) = x * y$ for an open 0-neighbourhood $W \subseteq V$, via

$$x * y := \phi(\phi^{-1}(x)\phi^{-1}(y)).$$

It is easy to see that the first order Taylor expansions of multiplication and inversion in local coordinates read

$$x * y = x + y + \dots \tag{13}$$

and

$$x^{-1} = -x + \dots \tag{14}$$

(cf. [46, p.113]). Applying the ultrametric inverse function theorem with parameters to the maps $(x, y) \mapsto x * y$ and $(x, y) \mapsto y * x$, we find $r > 0$ with $B_r(0) \subseteq W$ such that

$$x * B_s(0) = x + B_s(0) = B_s(0) * x \tag{15}$$

for all $x \in B_r(0)$ and $s \in]0, r]$ (exploiting that all relevant differentials or partial differentials are isometries in view of (13) and (14)). In particular, (15) implies that $B_s(0) * B_s(0) = B_s(0)$ for each $s \in]0, r]$, and thus also $y^{-1} \in B_s(0)$ for each $y \in B_s(0)$.

Summing up:

Lemma 1.14 *$(B_s(0), *)$ is a group for each $s \in]0, r]$ and hence $\phi^{-1}(B_s(0))$ is a compact open subgroup of G , for each $s \in]0, r]$. Moreover, $B_s(0)$ is a normal subgroup of $(B_r(0), *)$. $\square$*

Thus small balls in $L(G)$ correspond to compact open subgroups in G . These special subgroups are very useful for many purposes. In particular, we shall see later that for suitable choices of the norm $\|\cdot\|$, the groups $\phi^{-1}(B_s(0))$ will be tidy for a given automorphism α , as long as α is well-behaved (exceptional cases where this goes wrong will be pin-pointed as well).

Remark 1.15 Note that (15) entails that the indices of $B_s(0)$ in $(B_r(0), +)$ and $(B_r(0), *)$ coincide (as the cosets coincide). This observation will be useful later.

2 Basic facts concerning p -adic Lie groups

For each local field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic 0, the exponential series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k$ converges on some 0-neighbourhood $U \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{K})$ and defines an analytic mapping $\exp: U \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$. More generally, it can be shown that every $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic Lie group G admits an exponential function in the following sense:

Definition 2.1 An analytic map $\exp_G: U \rightarrow G$ on an open 0-neighbourhood $U \subseteq L(G)$ with $\mathbb{O}U \subseteq U$ is called an *exponential function* if $\exp_G(0) = 1$, $T_0(\exp_G) = \mathrm{id}$ and

$$\exp_G((s+t)x) = \exp_G(sx) \exp_G(tx) \quad \text{for all } x \in U \text{ and } s, t \in \mathbb{O},$$

where $\mathbb{O} := \{t \in \mathbb{K}: |t| \leq 1\}$ is the valuation ring.

Since $T_0(\exp_G) = \mathrm{id}$, after shrinking U one can assume that $\exp_G(U)$ is open and $\exp_G$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image. By Lemma 1.14, after shrinking U further if necessary, we may assume that $\exp_G(U)$ is a subgroup of G . Hence also U can be considered as a Lie group. The Taylor expansion

of multiplication with respect to the logarithmic chart $\exp_G^{-1}$ is given by the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff (BCH-) series

$$x * y = x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x, y] + \cdots \quad (16)$$

(all terms of which are nested Lie brackets), and hence $x * y$ is given by this series for small U (cf. Lemma 3 and Theorem 2 in [7, Chapter 3, §4, no. 2]). In this case, we call $\exp_G(U)$ a *BCH-subgroup* of G .

For later use, we note that

$$x^n = x * \cdots * x = nx \quad (17)$$

for all $x \in U$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (since $[x, x] = 0$ in (16)). As a consequence, the closed subgroup of $(U, *)$ generated by $x \in U$ is of the form

$$\overline{\langle x \rangle} = \mathbb{Z}_p x. \quad (18)$$

We also note that

$$\exp_U := \text{id}_U \quad (19)$$

is an exponential map for U .

Next, let us consider homomorphisms between Lie groups. We recall that if $\alpha: G \rightarrow H$ is an analytic homomorphism between real Lie groups, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H \\ \exp_G \uparrow & & \uparrow \exp_H \\ L(G) & \xrightarrow{L(\alpha)} & L(H) \end{array}$$

commutes (a fact referred to as the “naturality of $\exp$ ”). In the case of Lie groups G and H over a local field $\mathbb{K}$ of characteristic 0, we can still choose $\exp_G: U_G \rightarrow G$ and $\exp_H: U_H \rightarrow H$ such that $L(\alpha).U_G \subseteq U_H$ and

$$\exp_H \circ L(\alpha)|_{U_G} = \alpha \circ \exp_G \quad (20)$$

(see Proposition 8 in [7, Chapter 3, §4, no. 4]).

The following standard facts are used frequently. For the proofs, consult Proposition 7 in §1, no. 4; Proposition 11 in §1, no. 6; Theorem 1 in §8, no. 1; and Theorem 2 in §8, no. 2 in [7, Chapter 3].

Proposition 2.2 *Closed subgroups, finite direct products and Hausdorff quotient groups of p -adic Lie groups are p -adic Lie groups. Moreover, every continuous homomorphism between p -adic Lie groups is analytic. $\square$*

It is also known that a topological group which is an extension of a p -adic Lie group by a p -adic Lie group is again a p -adic Lie group. In fact, the case of compact p -adic Lie groups is known in the theory of analytic pro- p groups (one can combine [54, Proposition 8.1.1 (b)] with Proposition 1.11 (ii) and Corollary 8.33 from [11]). The general case then is a well-known consequence (see, e.g., [27, Lemma 9.1 (a)]).

The following fact is essential for us (cf. Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 3.5 in [49]).

Proposition 2.3 *Every p -adic Lie group G has an open subgroup U which satisfies an ascending chain condition on closed subgroups.*

Proof. We show that every BCH-subgroup U has the desired property. It suffices to discuss $U \subseteq L(G)$ with the BCH-multiplication. We recall that the Lie algebra $L(H)$ of a closed subgroup $H \leq U$ can be identified with the set of all $x \in L(U) = L(G)$ such that

$$\exp_U(Wx) \subseteq H$$

for some 0-neighbourhood $W \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ (see Corollary 1 (ii) in [7, Chapter 3, §4, no. 4]). Since $\exp_U = \text{id}_U$ here (see (19)) and $\mathbb{Z}_p x = \overline{\langle x \rangle} \subseteq H$ for each $x \in H$ (by (18)), we deduce that

$$L(H) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(H)$$

is the linear span of H in the current situation. Now consider an ascending series $H_1 \leq H_2 \leq \dots$ of closed subgroups. We may assume that each H_n has the same dimension and thus $\mathfrak{h} := L(H_1) = L(H_2) = \dots$ for each n . Then $H := \mathfrak{h} \cap U$ is a compact group in which H_1 is open, whence $[H : H_1]$ is finite and the series has to stabilize. $\square$

We record an important consequence:

Proposition 2.4 *If G is a p -adic Lie group and $H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \dots$ an ascending sequence of closed subgroups of G , then also $H := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n$ is closed in G .*

Proof. Let $V \subseteq G$ be an open subgroup satisfying an ascending chain condition on closed subgroups. Then

$$V \cap H_1 \subseteq V \cap H_2 \subseteq \dots$$

is an ascending sequence of closed subgroups of V and hence stabilizes, say at $V \cap H_m$. Then

$$V \cap H = V \cap H_m$$

is closed in G . Being locally closed, the subgroup H is closed (compare [29, Theorem (5.9)]). $\square$

Two important applications are now described. The second application (Corollary 2.8) is part of [49, Theorem 3.5 (ii)]. The first application might also be deduced from the second using [3, Theorem 3.32].

2.5 We recall from [52] and the lecture of George Willis: If G is a totally disconnected, locally compact group and α an automorphism of G , then a compact open subgroup $V \subseteq G$ is called *tidy for α* if it has the following properties:

TA $V = V_+ V_-$, where $V_+ := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \alpha^n(V)$ and $V_- := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \alpha^{-n}(V)$; and

TB The ascending union $V_{++} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \alpha^n(V_+)$ is closed in G .

Corollary 2.6 *Let G be a p -adic Lie group, $\alpha: G \rightarrow G$ be an automorphism and $V \subseteq G$ be a compact open subgroup. Then V automatically satisfies the tidiness condition **TB**.*

Proof. The subgroup $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \alpha^n(V_+)$ is an ascending union of closed subgroups of G and hence closed, by Proposition 2.4. $\square$

The second application of Proposition 2.4 concerns contraction groups.

Definition 2.7 Given a topological group G and automorphism $\alpha: G \rightarrow G$, we define the *contraction group of α* via

$$U_\alpha := \{x \in G: \alpha^n(x) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}. \quad (21)$$

In other parts of this volume, the notation $\text{con}(\alpha)$ is used for U_α , but our formulas are easier to read if we use the more compact notation U_α from [3].

Corollary 2.8 *Let G be a p -adic Lie group. Then U_α is closed, for each automorphism $\alpha: G \rightarrow G$.*

Proof. Let $V_1 \supseteq V_2 \supseteq \dots$ be a sequence of compact open subgroups of G which form a basis of identity neighbourhoods (cf. Lemma 1.14). Then an element $x \in G$ belongs to U_α if and only if

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) (\exists m \in \mathbb{N}) (\forall k \geq m) \quad \alpha^k(x) \in V_n.$$

Since $\alpha^k(x) \in V_n$ if and only if $x \in \alpha^{-k}(V_n)$, we deduce that

$$U_\alpha = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{k \geq m} \alpha^{-k}(V_n).$$

Note that $W_n := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcap_{k \geq m} \alpha^{-k}(V_n)$ is an ascending union of closed subgroups of G and hence closed, by Proposition 2.4. Consequently, $U_\alpha = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} W_n$ is closed. $\square$

Remark 2.9 We mention that contraction groups of the form U_α arise in many contexts: In representation theory in connection with the Mautner phenomenon (see [39, Chapter II, Lemma 3.2] and (for the p -adic case) [49]); in probability theory on groups (see [28], [47], [48] and (for the p -adic case) [9]); and in the structure theory of totally disconnected, locally compact groups [3].

3 Lazard's characterization of p -adic groups

Lazard [37] obtained various characterizations of p -adic Lie groups within the class of locally compact groups, and many more have been found since in the theory of analytic pro- p -groups (see [11, pp. 97–98]). These characterizations (and the underlying theory of analytic pro- p -groups) are of great value for the structure theory of totally disconnected groups. For example, they explain the occurrence of p -adic Lie groups in the areas of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -actions and contractive automorphisms mentioned in the introduction. We recall one of Lazard's characterizations in a form recorded in [46, p. 157]:

Theorem 3.1 *A topological group G is a p -adic Lie group if and only if it has an open subgroup U with the following properties:*

- (a) U is a pro- p -group;
- (b) U is topologically finitely generated, i.e., $U = \overline{\langle F \rangle}$ for a finite subset $F \subseteq U$;
- (c) $[U, U] := \langle xyx^{-1}y^{-1} : x, y \in U \rangle \subseteq \{x^{p^2} : x \in U\}$.

Roughly speaking, the last condition means that U has more p^2 -powers than commutators.

Although the proof of the sufficiency of conditions (a)–(c) is non-trivial, their necessity is clear. In fact, (a) is immediate from (10) and Remark 1.15, while (c) can easily be proved using the second order Taylor expansion of the commutator map and the inverse function theorem (applied to the map $x \mapsto x^{p^2}$). To obtain (b), one picks a basis $x_1, \dots, x_d$ of $L(G)$ and notes that the analytic map

$$\phi: (\mathbb{Z}_p)^d \rightarrow G, \quad (t_1, \dots, t_d) \mapsto \exp_G(t_1 x_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \exp_G(t_d x_d)$$

has an invertible differential at the origin (the map $(t_1, \dots, t_d) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^d t_j x_j$), and hence restricts to a diffeomorphism from $p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$ (for some $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) onto an open identity neighbourhood U , which can be chosen as subgroup of G (by Lemma 1.14). Replacing the elements x_j with $p^n x_j$, we can always achieve that ϕ is a diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ onto a compact open subgroup U of G (occasionally, one speaks of “coordinates of the second kind” in this situation). Then

$$\overline{\langle \exp_G(x_1), \dots, \exp_G(x_d) \rangle} = U,$$

establishing (b).

4 Iteration of linear automorphisms

A good understanding of linear automorphisms of vector spaces over local fields is essential for an understanding of automorphisms of general Lie groups.

Decomposition of E and adapted norms

For our first consideration, we let $(\mathbb{K}, |\cdot|)$ be a complete ultrametric field, E be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space, and $\alpha: E \rightarrow E$ be a linear automorphism. We let $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{K}$, and use the same symbol,

$|\cdot|$, for the unique extension of the given absolute value to $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ (see [44, Theorem 16.1]). We let $R(\alpha)$ be the set of all absolute values $|\lambda|$, where $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is an eigenvalue of the automorphism $\alpha_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}} := \alpha \otimes \text{id}_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$ of $E_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}} := E \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ obtained by extension of scalars. For each $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$, we let

$$(E_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}})_{(\lambda)} := \{x \in E_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}} : (\beta_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}} - \lambda)^d x = 0\}$$

be the generalized eigenspace of $\alpha_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$ in $E_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$ corresponding to λ (where d is the dimension of the $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space E). Given $\rho \in R(\alpha)$, we define

$$(E_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}})_{\rho} := \bigoplus_{|\lambda|=\rho} (E_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}})_{(\lambda)}, \quad (22)$$

where the sum is taken over all $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ such that $|\lambda| = \rho$.

The following fact (cf. (1.0) on p. 81 in [39, Chapter II]) is essential:²

Lemma 4.1 *For each $\rho \in R(\alpha)$, the vector subspace $(E_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}})_{\rho}$ of $E_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$ is defined over $\mathbb{K}$, i.e., $(E_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}})_{\rho} = (E_{\rho})_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$, where $E_{\rho} := (E_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}})_{\rho} \cap E$. Thus*

$$E = \bigoplus_{\rho \in R(\alpha)} E_{\rho}, \quad (23)$$

and each E_{ρ} is an α -invariant vector subspace of E . □

It is useful for us that certain well-behaved norms exist on E (cf. [13, Lemma 3.3] and its proof for the p -adic case).

Definition 4.2 A norm $\|\cdot\|$ on E is *adapted to α* if the following holds:

A1 $\|\cdot\|$ is ultrametric;

A2 $\left\| \sum_{\rho \in R(\alpha)} x_{\rho} \right\| = \max\{\|x_{\rho}\| : \rho \in R(\alpha)\}$ for each $(x_{\rho})_{\rho \in R(\alpha)} \in \prod_{\rho \in R(\alpha)} E_{\rho}$;
and

A3 $\|\alpha(x)\| = \rho\|x\|$ for each $\rho \in R(\alpha)$ and $x \in E_{\rho}$.

Proposition 4.3 *Let E be a finite-dimensional vector space over a complete ultrametric field $(\mathbb{K}, |\cdot|)$ and $\alpha: E \rightarrow E$ be a linear automorphism. Then E admits a norm $\|\cdot\|$ adapted to α .*

² [39, p. 81] assumes that $\mathbb{K}$ is a local field, but the proof works verbatim for complete ultrametric fields.

This follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 4.4 *For each $\rho \in R(\alpha)$, there exists an ultrametric norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ on E_ρ such that $\|\alpha(x)\|_\rho = \rho\|x\|_\rho$ for each $x \in E_\rho$.*

Proof. Assume $\rho \geq 1$ first. We choose a $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ -basis $w_1, \dots, w_m$ of $(E_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}})_\rho =: V$ such that the matrix A_ρ of $\alpha_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}^V: V \rightarrow V$ with respect to this basis has Jordan canonical form. We let $\|\cdot\|$ be the maximum norm on V with respect to this basis.

If $\rho = 1$, then $A_\rho \in \text{GL}_m(\overline{\mathbb{O}})$, where $\overline{\mathbb{O}} = \{t \in \overline{\mathbb{K}}: |t| \leq 1\}$ is the valuation ring of $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$, and hence $\alpha_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}^V$ is an isometry with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ (by Proposition 1.13). Thus $\|\alpha_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}(x)\| = \|x\| = \rho\|x\|$ for all $x \in V$.

If $\rho > 1$, we note that for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, there exists an eigenvalue μ_k such that $w_k \in (E_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}})_{(\mu_k)}$. Then $\alpha_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}(w_k) = \mu_k w_k + (\alpha_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}(w_k) - \mu_k w_k)$ for each k , with $\|\mu_k w_k\| = \rho > 1 \geq \|\alpha_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}(w_k) - \mu_k w_k\|$. As a consequence, $\|\alpha_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}(x)\| = \rho\|x\|$ for each $x \in V$, using (3).

In either of the preceding cases, we define $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ as the restriction of $\|\cdot\|$ to E_ρ . To complete the proof, assume $\rho < 1$ now. Then $E_\rho = E_{\rho^{-1}}(\alpha^{-1})$, where $\rho^{-1} > 1$. Thus, by what has already been shown, there exists an ultrametric norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ on E_ρ such that $\|\alpha^{-1}(x)\|_\rho = \rho^{-1}\|x\|_\rho$ for each $x \in E_\rho$. Then $\|\alpha(x)\|_\rho = \rho\|x\|_\rho$ for each $x \in E_\rho$, as required. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.3. For each $\rho \in R(\alpha)$, we choose a norm $\|\cdot\|_\rho$ on E_ρ as described in Lemma 4.4. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{\rho \in R(\alpha)} x_\rho \right\| := \max \{ \|x_\rho\|_\rho : \rho \in R(\alpha) \} \quad \text{for } (x_\rho)_{\rho \in R(\alpha)} \in \prod_{\rho \in R(\alpha)} E_\rho$$

defines a norm $\|\cdot\|: E \rightarrow [0, \infty[$ which, by construction, is adapted to α . $\square$

Contraction group and Levi factor for a linear automorphism

For α a linear automorphism of a finite-dimensional vector space E over a local field $\mathbb{K}$, we now determine the contraction group (as introduced in Definition 2.7) and the associated Levi factor, defined as follows:

Definition 4.5 Let G be a topological group and $\alpha: G \rightarrow G$ be an automorphism. Following [3], we define the *Levi factor* M_α as the set of all $x \in G$ such that the two-sided orbit $\alpha^{\mathbb{Z}}(x)$ is relatively compact in G .

It is clear that both U_α and M_α are subgroups. If G is locally compact and totally disconnected, then M_α is closed, as can be shown using tidy subgroups as a tool (see [3, p. 224]).

Proposition 4.6 *Let α be a linear automorphism of a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space E . Then*

$$U_\alpha = \bigoplus_{\substack{\rho \in R(\alpha) \\ \rho < 1}} E_\rho, \quad M_\alpha = E_1 \quad \text{and} \quad U_{\alpha^{-1}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\rho \in R(\alpha) \\ \rho > 1}} E_\rho.$$

Furthermore, $E = U_\alpha \oplus M_\alpha \oplus U_{\alpha^{-1}}$ as a $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space.

Proof. Using an adapted norm on E , the characterizations of U_α , M_α and $U_{\alpha^{-1}}$ are clear. That E is the indicated direct sum follows from (23). $\square$

Tidy subgroups and the scale for a linear automorphism

In the preceding situation, set

$$E_+ := \bigoplus_{\rho \geq 1} E_\rho \quad \text{and} \quad E_- := \bigoplus_{\rho \leq 1} E_\rho. \quad (24)$$

Proposition 4.7 *For each $r > 0$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$ on E adapted to α , the ball $B_r := \{x \in E : \|x\| < r\}$ is tidy for α , with*

$$(B_r)_\pm = B_r \cap E_\pm. \quad (25)$$

The scale of α is given by

$$s_E(\alpha) = \Delta_{E_+}(\alpha|_{E_+}^{E_+}) = |\det(\alpha|_{E_+}^{E_+})| = \prod_{\substack{j \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\ |\lambda_j| \geq 1}} |\lambda_j|, \quad (26)$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ are the eigenvalues of $\alpha_{\overline{\mathbb{K}}}$ (occurring with multiplicities).

Proof. Let $x \in E$. It is clear from the definition of an adapted norm that $\alpha^{-n}(x) \in B_r$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (i.e., $x \in (B_r)_+$) if and only if $x \in \bigoplus_{\rho \geq 1} E_\rho = E_+$. Thus $(B_r)_+$ is given by (25), and $(B_r)_-$ can be discussed analogously. It follows from property **A2** in the definition of an adapted norm that

$$B_r = \bigoplus_{\rho \in R(\alpha)} (B_r \cap E_\rho), \quad (27)$$

and thus $B_r = (B_r)_+ + (B_r)_-$. Hence B_r satisfies tidiness condition **TA**. Since

$$(B_r)_{++} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \alpha^n((B_r)_+) = (B_r \cap E_1) \oplus \bigoplus_{\rho > 1} E_\rho$$

is closed, also **TB** is satisfied and thus B_r is tidy.

Let λ be a Haar measure on E_+ . Since $K := (B_r)_+$ is open in E_+ , compact, and $K \subseteq \alpha(K)$, we obtain

$$\Delta_{E_+}(\alpha|_{E_+}^{E_+}) = \frac{\lambda(\alpha(K))}{\lambda(K)} = \frac{[\alpha(K) : K] \lambda(K)}{\lambda(K)} = [\alpha(K) : K] = s_E(\alpha),$$

using the translation invariance of Haar measure for the second equality. Here

$$\Delta_{E_+}(\alpha|_{E_+}^{E_+}) = |\det(\alpha|_{E_+}^{E_+})| = \prod_{\substack{j \in \{1, \dots, d\} \\ |\lambda_j| \geq 1}} |\lambda_j|,$$

by (8) in Lemma 1.5. □

5 Scale and tidy subgroups for automorphisms of p -adic Lie groups

In this section, we determine tidy subgroups and calculate the scale for automorphisms of a p -adic Lie group.

5.1 Applying (20) to an automorphism α of a p -adic Lie group G , we find an exponential function $\exp_G : V \rightarrow G$ which is a diffeomorphism onto its image, and an open 0-neighbourhood $W \subseteq V$ such that $L(\alpha).W \subseteq V$ and

$$\exp_G \circ L(\alpha)|_W = \alpha \circ \exp_G|_W. \quad (28)$$

Thus α is locally linear in a suitable (logarithmic) chart, which simplifies an understanding of the dynamics of α . Many aspects can be reduced to the dynamics of the linear automorphism $\beta := L(\alpha)$ of $L(G)$, as discussed in Section 4. After shrinking W , we may assume that also $L(\alpha^{-1}).W \subseteq V$ and

$$\exp_G \circ L(\alpha^{-1})|_W = \alpha^{-1} \circ \exp_G|_W. \quad (29)$$

To construct subgroups tidy for α , we pick a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $E := L(G)$ adapted to $\beta := L(\alpha)$, as in Proposition 4.3. There exists $r > 0$ such that $B_r := B_r(0) \subseteq W$ and

$$V_t := \exp_G(B_t)$$

is a compact open subgroup of G , for each $t \in]0, r]$ (see Lemma 1.14). Abbreviate $Q_t := B_t \cap \bigoplus_{\rho < 1} E_\rho$. Since $B_t = (B_t)_+ \oplus Q_t$ by (27) (applied to the linear automorphism β), the ultrametric inverse function theorem (Proposition 1.11) implies that $V_t = \exp_G((B_t)_+) \exp_G(Q_t)$ and thus

$$V_t = \exp_G((B_t)_+) \exp_G((B_t)_-) \quad (30)$$

for all $t \in]0, r]$, after shrinking r if necessary. Then we have (as first recorded in [19, Theorem 3.4 (c)]):

Theorem 5.2 *The subgroup V_t of G is tidy for α , for each $t \in]0, r]$. Moreover,*

$$s_G(\alpha) = s_{L(G)}(L(\alpha)),$$

where $s_{L(G)}(L(\alpha))$ can be calculated as in Proposition 4.7.

Proof. Since $\beta((B_t)_-) \subseteq (B_t)_-$ and $\beta^{-1}((B_t)_+) \subseteq (B_t)_+$, it follows with (28), (29) and induction that

$$\alpha^{\mp n}(\exp_G((B_t)_\pm)) \subseteq \exp_G(\beta^{\mp n}((B_t)_\pm)) \subseteq \exp_G((B_t)_\pm)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and hence

$$\exp_G((B_t)_\pm) \subseteq (V_t)_\pm.$$

Therefore $V_t = (V_t)_+(V_t)_-$ (using (30)) and thus V_t satisfies tidiness condition **TA**. By Corollary 2.6, also **TB** is satisfied, and thus V_t is tidy for α .

Closer inspection shows that $L((V_t)_\pm) = L(G)_\pm$ (see [13, Theorem 3.5]), where $L(G)_\pm$ is defined as in (24), using β . Since the module of a Lie group automorphism can be calculated on the Lie algebra level (using Proposition 55 (ii) in [7, Chapter 3, §3, no.16]), it follows that $s_G(\alpha) = \Delta_{(V_t)_{++}}(\alpha|_{(V_t)_{++}}) = \Delta_{L(G)_+}(L(\alpha)|_{L(G)_+}) = s_{L(G)}(L(\alpha))$. $\square$

6 p -adic contraction groups

Exploiting the local linearity of α (see (28)) and the closedness of p -adic contraction groups (see Corollary 2.8), it is possible to reduce the discussion of p -adic contraction groups to contraction groups of linear automorphisms, as in Proposition 4.6. We record the results, due to Wang [49, Theorem 3.5].

Theorem 6.1 *Let G be a p -adic Lie group and $\alpha: G \rightarrow G$ be an automorphism. Abbreviate $\beta := L(\alpha)$. Then U_α , M_α and $U_{\alpha^{-1}}$ are closed subgroups of G with Lie algebras*

$$L(U_\alpha) = U_\beta, \quad L(M_\alpha) = M_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad L(U_{\alpha^{-1}}) = U_{\beta^{-1}},$$

respectively. Furthermore, $U_\alpha M_\alpha U_{\alpha^{-1}}$ is an open identity neighbourhood in G , and the product map

$$U_\alpha \times M_\alpha \times U_{\alpha^{-1}} \rightarrow U_\alpha M_\alpha U_{\alpha^{-1}}, \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto xyz$$

is an analytic diffeomorphism. $\square$

In Part (ii) of his Theorem 3.5, Wang also obtained essential information concerning the groups U_α .

Theorem 6.2 *Let G be a p -adic Lie group admitting a contractive automorphism α . Then G is a unipotent linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, and hence nilpotent.* $\square$

We remark that Theorem 6.1 becomes false in general for Lie groups over local fields of positive characteristic (as illustrated in 7.1). However, we shall see later that its conclusions remain valid if closedness of U_α is made an extra hypothesis. Assuming closedness of U_α , a certain analogue of Theorem 6.1 can even be obtained in a purely topological setting. As observed in part (f) of the theorem in [15], results in the structure theory of totally disconnected groups provided in [3] imply the following result:

Proposition 6.3 *Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group and $\alpha: G \rightarrow G$ be an automorphism. If G is metrizable and U_α is closed, then $U_\alpha M_\alpha U_{\alpha^{-1}}$ is an open identity neighbourhood in G and the product map*

$$\pi: U_\alpha \times M_\alpha \times U_{\alpha^{-1}} \rightarrow U_\alpha M_\alpha U_{\alpha^{-1}}, \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto xyz \tag{31}$$

is a homeomorphism. $\square$

7 Pathologies in positive characteristic

Most of our discussion of automorphisms of p -adic Lie groups becomes false for Lie groups over local fields of positive characteristic (without extra assumptions). Suitable extra assumptions will be described later. For the moment, let us have a look at some of the possible pathologies.³

7.1 Non-closed contraction groups

We describe an analytic automorphism of an analytic Lie group over a local field of positive characteristic, with a non-closed contraction group.

Given a finite field $\mathbb{F}$ with prime order $|\mathbb{F}| = p$, consider the compact group $G := \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and the right shift

$$\alpha: G \rightarrow G, \quad \alpha(f)(n) := f(n-1).$$

Since the sets $\{f \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}: f(-n) = f(-n+1) = \dots = f(n-1) = f(n) = 0\}$ (for $n \in \mathbb{N}$) form a basis of 0-neighbourhoods in G , it is easy to see that the contraction group U_α consists exactly of the functions with support bounded below, i.e.,

$$U_\alpha = \mathbb{F}^{(-\mathbb{N})} \times \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}_0}.$$

This is a dense, non-closed subgroup of G . Also note that G does not have arbitrarily small subgroups tidy for α : in fact, G is the only tidy subgroup.

We now observe that G can be considered as a 2-dimensional Lie group over $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{F}((X))$: The map

$$G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[[X]] \times \mathbb{F}[[X]], \quad f \mapsto \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(-n)X^{n-1}, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(n)X^n \right)$$

is a global chart. The automorphism of $\mathbb{F}[[X]]^2$ corresponding to α coincides on $X\mathbb{F}[[X]] \times \mathbb{F}[[X]]$ with the linear map

$$\beta: \mathbb{K}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^2, \quad \beta(v, w) = (X^{-1}v, Xw).$$

Hence α is an analytic automorphism.

³Compiled from [24] and [14].

7.2 An automorphism whose scale cannot be calculated on the Lie algebra level

We retain G , α and β from the preceding example.

Since G is compact, we have $s_G(\alpha) = 1$. However, $L(\alpha) = \beta$ and hence $s_{L(G)}(L(\alpha)) = s_{\mathbb{K}^2}(\beta) = |X^{-1}| = p$. Thus

$$s_G(\alpha) \neq s_{L(G)}(L(\alpha)),$$

in stark contrast to the p -adic case, where equality did always hold!

7.3 An automorphism which is not locally linear in any chart

For $\mathbb{F}$, $\mathbb{K}$ and p as before, consider the 1-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic Lie group $G := \mathbb{F}[[X]]$. Then

$$\alpha: G \rightarrow G, \quad z \mapsto z + Xz^p$$

is an analytic automorphism of G such that $\alpha'(0) = \text{id}$. If α could be locally linearized, we could find a diffeomorphism $\phi: U \rightarrow V$ between open 0-neighbourhoods $U, V \subseteq G$ such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and

$$\alpha \circ \phi|_W = \phi \circ \beta|_W \tag{32}$$

for some linear map $\beta: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and 0-neighbourhood $W \subseteq G$. Then $\phi'(0) = \alpha'(0) \circ \phi'(0) = \phi'(0) \circ \beta$, and hence $\beta = \text{id}$. Substituting this into (32), we deduce that $\alpha|_{\phi(W)} = \text{id}_{\phi(W)}$, which is a contradiction.

7.4 Existence of non-analytic Lie groups

We mention that, beyond analytic maps, there also are notions of C^k -maps and smooth maps (i.e., C^∞ -maps) between open subsets of finite-dimensional vector spaces over local fields, and corresponding Lie groups (see Section 8).

As in the real case first discussed by F. Schur [45], every p -adic C^k -Lie group (with $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$) admits a C^k -compatible analytic Lie group structure [16] (as can be shown using Lazard's characterization of p -adic analytic groups as a tool). However, the situation is fundamentally different in positive characteristic:

There exists a 1-dimensional smooth Lie group G over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}((X))$ which

does not admit a $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic Lie group structure compatible with the given topological group structure (see [14]). Also, there exist C^k -Lie groups which are not C^{k+1} , for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Description of the counterexample.

Let $\ell_0 < \ell_1 < \dots$ be a sequence of positive integers such that

$$\frac{\ell_k}{k} \rightarrow \infty \quad (33)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Set $H := (\mathbb{K}, +)$, where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}((X))$. Then the map $\alpha: H \rightarrow H$,

$$z = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k X^k \mapsto z + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k X^{\ell_k}$$

is a C^∞ -automorphism of H , but not analytic. [In fact, (33) entails that

$$\|\alpha(z) - z\| = o(\|z\|^n) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0, \quad (34)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If α and hence $\alpha - \text{id}$ was analytic, then (34) would entail that all coefficients in the Taylor series of $\alpha - \text{id}$ around 0 vanish and thus $\alpha = \text{id}$ on some 0-neighbourhood, which is absurd.]

We shall also use the map $\beta: H \rightarrow H$, $z \mapsto Xz$, which is a contractive, linear automorphism. Now give

$$G := H \rtimes \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$$

the 1-dimensional smooth Lie group structure which makes H an open subgroup. It can be shown that G does not admit a $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic Lie group structure compatible with its given topological group structure.

8 Differential calculus over topological fields

Most of the results presented so far did not require that the relevant functions are locally given by power series, but only relied on the availability of Taylor expansions and the ultrametric inverse function theorem. It is therefore clearer – and leads to a discussion of more general classes of groups and automorphisms – to extend our perspective from analytic Lie groups to C^k -Lie groups, where $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$ (and where C^ω -Lie groups mean analytic Lie groups).

Remark 8.1 We mention in passing that the consideration of C^∞ -Lie groups is unavoidable *a fortiori* in infinite-dimensional Lie theory, since already in the real case many prominent examples of infinite-dimensional Lie groups (like diffeomorphism groups) do not admit an analytic Lie group structure. Also in the theory of dynamical systems, manifolds and mappings appear naturally which are not analytic, but only smooth, C^k , Hölder continuous, or continuous.

In non-archimedean analysis, there is a widely accepted notion of C^k -map of a single variable (see, e.g., [44]). We shall use the appropriate generalization to multi-variable and infinite-dimensional calculus, as developed in [4].

Consider a map $f: E \supseteq U \rightarrow F$, where E and F are topological vector spaces over a (non-discrete) topological field $\mathbb{K}$ and $U \subseteq E$ is open. Then the directional difference quotient

$$\frac{f(x + ty) - f(x)}{t}$$

makes sense for all $(x, y, t) \in U \times E \times \mathbb{K}^\times$ such that $x + ty \in U$. Allowing also the value $t = 0$ now, consider the open subset

$$U^{[1]} := \{(x, y, t) \in U \times E \times \mathbb{K} : x + ty \in U\}$$

of $E \times E \times \mathbb{K}$.

Definition 8.2 We say that a map f as before is C^1 if it is continuous and there exists a (necessarily unique) continuous map $f^{[1]}: U^{[1]} \rightarrow F$ which extends the directional difference quotient map, i.e.,

$$f^{[1]}(x, y, t) = \frac{f(x + ty) - f(x)}{t}$$

for all $(x, y, t) \in U^{[1]}$ such that $t \neq 0$. Inductively, f is called C^{k+1} for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if f is C^1 and $f^{[1]}$ is C^k . As usual, f is called C^∞ or *smooth* if f is C^k for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

It can be shown that

$$f'(x) := f^{[1]}(x, \bullet, 0): E \rightarrow F$$

is a continuous linear map, for each C^1 -map f as before and $x \in U$. Moreover, compositions of composable C^k -maps are C^k , and being C^k is a local property

(which can be tested on an open cover). As expected, analytic maps between finite-dimensional (or Banach) spaces over local fields are C^∞ (see [4] for all of this). The ultrametric inverse function theorems with and without parameters (Propositions 1.11 and 1.12) have analogues for C^k -maps between finite-dimensional vector spaces over local fields (see [17], [20]). For a survey of differential calculus over ultrametric fields and some of its applications, the reader is referred to [18].

9 Tools from non-linear analysis: invariant manifolds

Since an automorphism α of a Lie group G over a local field of positive characteristic need not be locally linear (see 7.3), one has to resort to techniques from non-linear analysis to understand the iteration of such an automorphism. The essential tools are stable and pseudo-stable manifolds around a fixed point, which are well-known tools in the classical case of discrete dynamical systems on real manifolds. The analogy is clear: We are dealing with the (time-) discrete dynamical system (G, α) , and are interested in its behaviour around the fixed point 1.

To discuss stable manifolds in the ultrametric case, we consider the following setting: $(\mathbb{K}, |\cdot|)$ is a complete ultrametric field, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$ and M a finite-dimensional C^k -manifold over $\mathbb{K}$. Moreover, $\alpha: M \rightarrow M$ is a given C^k -diffeomorphism and $z \in M$ a fixed point of α . We let $a \in]0, 1] \setminus R(T_z(\alpha))$, using notation as in Section 4.

Now define $W_a^s(\alpha, z)$ as the set of all $x \in M$ with the following property: For some (and hence each) chart $\phi: U \rightarrow V \subseteq T_z(M)$ of M around z such that $\phi(z) = 0$, and some (and hence each) norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $T_z(M)$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\alpha^n(x) \in U$ for all integers $n \geq n_0$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\phi(\alpha^n(x))\|}{a^n} = 0. \quad (35)$$

It is clear from the definition that $W_a^s(\alpha, z)$ is an α -stable subset of M . The following fact is proved in [22]:

Theorem 9.1 *For each $a \in]0, 1] \setminus R(T_z(\alpha))$, the set $W_a^s(\alpha, z)$ is an immersed C^k -submanifold of M . Its tangent space at z is $\bigoplus_{\rho < a} T_z(M)_\rho$, using notation as in (22) with $\beta := T_z(\alpha): T_z(M) \rightarrow T_z(M)$ in place of α and $E := T_z(M)$.*

As in the real case, we call $W_a^s(\alpha, z)$ the *a-stable manifold* around z .

Some ideas of the proof. The main point is to construct a local a -stable manifold, i.e., an α -invariant submanifold N of M tangent to $\bigoplus_{\rho < a} T_z(M)_\rho$, such that $\alpha|_N: N \rightarrow N$ is a C^k -map.⁴ In the real case, M. C. Irwin [30] showed how the construction of a (local) a -stable manifold can be reduced to the implicit function theorem, applied to a Banach space of sequences (cf. also [36] and [51]).⁵ Since implicit function theorems are available also for mappings between ultrametric Banach spaces (see [6], [17], [20]), it is possible to adapt Irwin's method to the ultrametric case (see [22]; key steps are also described in [18] and [17]). $\square$

Also Irwin's construction [31] of pseudo-stable manifolds (the case $a > 1$) can be adapted to the ultrametric case [23], but this is more technical and we shall not go into the matter. Let us only mention one consequence for later use:

9.2 For M and α as before, there always exists a so-called *centre manifold*, i.e., an immersed C^k -submanifold C of M which is tangent to $M_\beta \subseteq T_z(M)$ at z and α -stable (i.e., $\alpha(C) = C$). By Proposition 1.11 (or its C^k -analogue),⁶ after shrinking C we may assume that C is diffeomorphic to a ball and hence compact.

10 The scale, tidy subgroups and contraction groups in positive characteristic

We begin with a discussion of the contraction group for a well-behaved automorphism α of a Lie group G over a local field, and the associated local decomposition of G adapted to α . Using the tools from non-linear analysis just described, one obtains the following analogue of Theorem 6.1 (from [24]).

Theorem 10.1 *Let G be a C^k -Lie group over a local field and $\alpha: G \rightarrow G$ be a C^k -automorphism. Abbreviate $\beta := L(\alpha)$. If U_α is closed, then U_α , M_α*

⁴Then $W_a^s(\alpha, z) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \alpha^{-n}(N)$, which is easily made a manifold containing N as an open set.

⁵The idea is to construct not the points x of the manifold, but their orbits $(\alpha^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$.

⁶Compare Remark 5.4, Proposition 7.1 (b)' and Theorem 7.3 in [17].

and $U_{\alpha^{-1}}$ are closed C^k -Lie subgroups of G with Lie algebras

$$L(U_\alpha) = U_\beta, \quad L(M_\alpha) = M_\beta \quad \text{and} \quad L(U_{\alpha^{-1}}) = U_{\beta^{-1}},$$

respectively. Moreover, $U_\alpha M_\alpha U_{\alpha^{-1}}$ is an open identity neighbourhood in G , and the product map

$$\pi: U_\alpha \times M_\alpha \times U_{\alpha^{-1}} \rightarrow U_\alpha M_\alpha U_{\alpha^{-1}}, \quad (x, y, z) \mapsto xyz \quad (36)$$

is a C^k -diffeomorphism.

Some ideas of the proof. Since U_α is the stable manifold of α around 1 and $U_{\alpha^{-1}}$ the stable manifold of α^{-1} , they are immersed submanifolds and in fact immersed Lie subgroups. By 9.2, there exists a compact centre manifold C for α around 1. Since $\alpha^n(C) = C$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $C \subseteq M_\alpha$. By the inverse function theorem, the product map

$$U_\alpha \times C \times U_{\alpha^{-1}} \rightarrow G$$

is a local diffeomorphism at $(1, 1, 1)$. Using Proposition 6.3, we deduce that C is open in M_α , and now a standard argument (Proposition 18 in [7, Chaper 3, §1, no. 9]) can be used to make M_α a Lie group. Then π from (31) is a local C^k -diffeomorphism at $(1, 1, 1)$, and one deduces as in the proof of Part (f) in the theorem in [15] that π is a C^k -diffeomorphism onto its image. $\square$

Remark 10.2 Even if U_α is not closed, its stable manifold structure makes it an immersed C^k -Lie subgroup of G , and $\alpha|_{U_\alpha}$ is a contractive C^k -automorphism of this Lie group. Therefore Section 11 below provides structural information on U_α (no matter whether it is closed or not).

Next, we discuss tidy subgroups and the scale for well-behaved automorphisms of Lie groups over local fields.

Let G be a C^1 -Lie group over a local field, and α be a C^1 -automorphism of G . Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on $L(G)$ adapted to $\beta := L(\alpha)$ and $\phi: U \rightarrow V \subseteq L(G)$ a chart of G around 1 such that $\phi(1) = 0$. We know from Lemma 1.14 that $V_r := \phi^{-1}(B_r(0))$ is a subgroup of G for sufficiently small r (where $B_r(0) \subseteq L(G)$). Then the following holds:

Theorem 10.3 *If U_α is closed, then the subgroup V_r of G (as just defined) is tidy for α , for each sufficiently small $r > 0$. Moreover,*

$$s_G(\alpha) = s_{L(G)}(L(\alpha)), \quad (37)$$

where $s_{L(G)}(L(\alpha))$ can be calculated as in Proposition 4.7. If U_α is not closed, then $s_G(\alpha) \neq s_{L(G)}(L(\alpha))$; more precisely, $s_G(\alpha)$ is a proper divisor of $s_{L(G)}(L(\alpha))$.

Sketch of proof. Combining Theorem 10.1 with the Ultrametric Inverse Function Theorem, we obtain a diffeomorphic decomposition

$$V_r = (V_r \cap U_\alpha)(V_r \cap M_\alpha)(V_r \cap U_{\alpha^{-1}}) \quad (38)$$

for small r . Since β leaves small balls (around the origin) in U_β invariant and small balls in M_β are stable under β , the Ultrametric Inverse Function Theorem implies that $V_r \cap U_\alpha$ is α -invariant (i.e., $\alpha(V_r \cap U_\alpha) \subseteq V_r \cap U_\alpha$) and $V_r \cap M_\alpha$ is α -stable for small r . Combining this information with (38) and (36), we see that

$$(V_r)_+ = (V_r \cap M_\alpha)(V_r \cap U_{\alpha^{-1}}).$$

As a consequence, $(V_r)_+$ has the Lie algebra $M_\beta \oplus U_{\beta^{-1}}$. Likewise, $L((V_r)_-) = U_\beta \oplus M_\beta$. Hence $V_r = (V_r)_+(V_r)_-$ for small r (using the Inverse Function Theorem). Thus tidiness condition **TA** from 2.5 is satisfied. Since $(V_r)_{++} = (V_r \cap M_\alpha)U_{\alpha^{-1}}$ as a consequence of (36) and the α -stability of $V_r \cap M_\alpha$, we see that $(V_r)_{++}$ is closed (verifying **TB**). $\square$

Remark 10.4 At a first glance, the discussion of the scale and tidy subgroups for automorphisms of Lie groups are easier in the p -adic case than in positive characteristic because any automorphism is locally linear in the p -adic case. But a more profound difference is the automatic validity of the tidiness property **TB** for automorphisms of p -adic Lie groups, which becomes invalid in positive characteristic.

As observed in [24] and [25], results from [3] imply the following closedness criterion for U_α , which is frequently easy to check.

Proposition 10.5 *Let G be a metrizable, totally disconnected, locally compact topological group and α be an automorphism of G . If there exists an injective continuous homomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ to a totally disconnected, locally compact group H and an automorphism β of H such that U_β is closed and $\beta \circ \phi = \phi \circ \alpha$, then also U_α is closed.*

Proof. Since H is metrizable, we have $U_\beta \cap M_\beta = \{1\}$ if and only if U_β is closed (see [3, Theorem 3.32]), which holds by hypothesis. Because $\phi(U_\alpha) \subseteq$

U_β and $\phi(M_\alpha) \subseteq M_\beta$, the injectivity of ϕ entails that $U_\alpha \cap M_\alpha = \{1\}$. Hence U_α is closed, using [3, Theorem 3.32] again. $\square$

Since $U_\beta \cap M_\beta = \{1\}$ holds for each inner automorphism of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ (cf. Proposition 4.6), the preceding proposition immediately entails:

Proposition 10.6 *If a metrizable, totally disconnected, locally compact group G admits an injective, continuous homomorphism into $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some local field $\mathbb{K}$, then U_α is closed in G for each inner automorphism α of G .* $\square$

Remark 10.7 In particular, U_α is closed for each inner automorphism of a closed subgroup G of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ over a local field $\mathbb{K}$, as already observed in [3, Remark 3.33 (3)]. Also an analogue of (37) can already be found in [3, Proposition 3.23], in the special case of Zariski connected reductive linear algebraic groups defined over $\mathbb{K}$. While our approach is analytic, the special case is discussed in [3] using methods from the theory of linear algebraic groups.

Remark 10.8 Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}((X))$, G and its automorphism α be as in 7.1, and $H := G \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$. Then H is a 2-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic Lie group, and conjugation with α restricts to α on G . In view of the considerations in 7.1, we have found an inner automorphism of H with a non-closed contraction group. By Proposition 10.6, H is not a linear Lie group, and more generally it does admit a faithful continuous linear representation over a local field.

For recent studies of linearity questions on the level of pro- p -groups, the reader is referred to [1], [2], [8], [33], [34], [38] and the references therein.

11 The structure of contraction groups in the case of positive characteristic

Wang's structural result concerning p -adic contraction groups recalled in Theorem 6.2 can partially be adapted to Lie groups over local fields of positive characteristic (see Theorems A and B in [21]):

Theorem 11.1 *Let G be a C^k -Lie group over a local field $\mathbb{K}$ of positive characteristic, where $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$. If G admits a contractive C^k -automorphism*

$\alpha: G \rightarrow G$, then G is a torsion group of finite exponent and solvable. If $k \geq 2$, then G is nilpotent and admits a central series

$$1 = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_n = G$$

where each G_j is a C^k -Lie subgroup of G .

Some ideas of the proof. Let $p := \text{char}(\mathbb{K})$. It is known from [27] that $G = \text{tor}(G) \times H_{p_1} \times \cdots \times H_{p_m}$ with certain α -stable p_j -adic Lie groups H_{p_j} . Since G is locally pro- p , we must have $G = \text{tor}(G) \times H_p$. If H_p was non-trivial, then the size of the sets of p^k -th powers of balls in the p -adic Lie group H_p and such in G would differ too much as k tends to ∞ , and one can reach a contradiction (if one makes this idea more precise, as in the proof of [21, Theorem A]). Hence $G = \text{tor}(G)$ is a torsion group.

To see that G is nilpotent, we exploit that the a -stable submanifolds $W_a^s(\alpha, 1)$ are Lie subgroups for all $a \in]0, 1[\setminus R(\alpha)$ (see [22]). Since

$$[W_a^s(\alpha, 1), W_b^s(\alpha, 1)] \subseteq W_{ab}^s(\alpha, 1)$$

holds for the commutator subgroups whenever $a, b, ab \in]0, 1[\setminus R(\alpha)$ (as follows from the second order Taylor expansion of the commutator map), one can easily pick numbers $a_1 < \cdots < a_n$ in $]0, 1[\setminus R(L(\alpha))$ for some n , such that the Lie subgroups $G_j := W_{a_j}^s(\alpha, 1)$ (for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$) form the desired central series. $\square$

12 Further results that can be adapted to positive characteristic

We mention that a variety of further results can be generalized from p -adic Lie groups to Lie groups over arbitrary local fields, if one assumes that the relevant automorphisms have closed contraction groups. The ultrametric inverse function theorems (Propositions 1.11 and 1.12) usually suffice as a replacement for the naturality of $\exp$ (although we cannot linearize, at least balls are only deformed as in the case of a linear map). And Theorem 10.1 gives control over the eigenvalues of $L(\alpha)$.

We briefly mention two results which can be generalized to positive characteristic following this pattern.

12.1 To discuss these results, we need to introduce more terminology. First, we recall from [40] that a totally disconnected, locally compact group G is called *uniscalar* if $s_G(x) = 1$ for each $x \in G$. This holds if and only if each group element $x \in G$ normalizes some compact, open subgroup V_x (which may depend on x). It is natural to ask whether this condition implies that V_x can be chosen independently of x , i.e., whether G has a compact, open, *normal* subgroup. A suitable p -adic Lie group (see [26, §6]) shows that a positive answer can only be expected if G is compactly generated. But even in the compactly generated case, the answer is negative in general (see [5] and preparatory work in [35]), and one has to restrict attention to particular classes of groups to obtain a positive answer (like compactly generated p -adic Lie groups). If G has the (even stronger) property that every identity neighbourhood contains an open, compact, normal subgroup of G , then G is called *pro-discrete*. Finally, a Lie group G over a local field is *of type R* if the eigenvalues of $L(\alpha)$ have absolute value 1, for each inner automorphism α (see [42]).

Using the above strategy, one can generalize results from [42] and [26] (see [25]):

Proposition 12.2 *Let G be a C^k -Lie group over a local field $\mathbb{K}$, and α be a C^k -automorphism of G . Then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (a) U_α is closed, and $s_G(\alpha) = s_G(\alpha^{-1}) = 1$;
- (b) All eigenvalues of $L(\alpha)$ in $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ have absolute value 1;
- (c) Every identity neighbourhood of G contains a compact, open subgroup U which is α -stable, i.e., $\alpha(U) = U$.

In particular, G is of type R if and only if G is uniscalar and U_α is closed for each inner automorphism α (in which case $U_\alpha = \{1\}$). $\square$

Using a fixed point theorem for group actions on buildings by A. Parreau, it was shown in [41] (and [26]) that every compactly generated, uniscalar p -adic Lie group is pro-discrete. More generally, one can prove (see [25]):

Proposition 12.3 *Every compactly generated C^k -Lie group of type R over a local field is pro-discrete.* $\square$

Another variant on this theme can be found [19, Corollary 4.3].

13 Open problems

We now compile various open problems related to the topics discussed before. Most of these are taken from [14] or [21], and they either concern contraction groups, or the existence of compatible Lie group structures with improved differentiability properties.

Compatible Lie group structures

For convenience, let us extend the natural order on $\mathbb{N}$ to an order on $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$ via $k < \infty < \omega$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

As recalled in 7.4, for each local field $\mathbb{K}$ of positive characteristic there exists a smooth Lie group G over $\mathbb{K}$ which does not admit a $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic Lie group structure compatible with the given topological group structure. However, all of the known examples for this phenomenon have $\mathbb{K}$ (or a power thereof) as an open subgroup, and $(\mathbb{K}, +)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic Lie group in a natural way. The following question is open.

Problem 13.1 Let $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$ be such that $k < \ell$ and G be a C^k -Lie group over a local field $\mathbb{K}$ of positive characteristic. Does G always have an open subgroup U which admits a C^k -compatible C^ℓ -Lie group structure? If not: Does G always have an open subgroup U which admits a C^ℓ -Lie group structure compatible with the given topological group structure?

Contractive automorphisms of totally disconnected groups

Let G be a totally disconnected, locally compact group and $\alpha: G \rightarrow G$ be a contractive automorphism. We then call (G, α) a *totally disconnected contraction group*. As shown in [27], every totally disconnected contraction group (G, α) admits an α -composition series, i.e., a properly ascending series

$$1 = G_0 \triangleleft G_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_n = G \tag{39}$$

of α -stable closed subgroups G_j of G , which cannot be refined further. A Jordan-Hölder theorem holds for α -composition series, and moreover a complete classification of the possible composition factors (the “simple totally disconnected contraction groups”) is available [27]. If G is a torsion group, then also all of its composition factors are torsion groups, and there are only

countably many possibilities for these (up to isomorphism). In fact, any such is isomorphic to a restricted product $F^{(-\mathbb{N})} \times F^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ with the right shift, for some finite simple group F (where $F^{(-\mathbb{N})}$ denotes the group of all $f \in F^{-\mathbb{N}}$ of finite support, equipped with the discrete topology). As there are only countable many composition factors, it is natural to ask:

Problem 13.2 Are there, up to isomorphism, only countably many totally disconnected, locally compact contraction groups (G, α) such that G is a torsion group?

Another question is of interest. It involves the concept of a p -Sylow subgroup of a profinite group (see [54] for further information on this concept).

Problem 13.3 Let (G, α) be a totally disconnected, locally compact contraction group, and p be a prime. Let $W \subseteq G$ be a compact open subgroup which is α -invariant, i.e., $\alpha(W) \subseteq W$. Does W always have an α -invariant p -Sylow subgroup W_p ? If not, does at least some W exist with this property?

Since every totally disconnected contraction group (G, α) is a direct product of an α -stable torsion group and α -stable p -adic Lie groups for finitely many primes p (as recalled in the introduction), it suffices to study Problem 13.3 for torsion groups. We mention that the second question has an affirmative answer in the case of a simple contraction group $F^{(-\mathbb{N})} \times F^{\mathbb{N}_0}$, because each choice of Sylow p -subgroup $H \subseteq F$ leads to an α -invariant p -Sylow subgroup $H^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ of $F^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ (which we identify with $\{1\} \times F^{\mathbb{N}_0} \subseteq F^{(-\mathbb{N})} \times F^{\mathbb{N}_0}$). This nourishes the hope that the answer might be affirmative also in the general case.

Problems concerning contractive automorphisms of Lie groups

Let G be a C^k -Lie group over a local field $\mathbb{K}$ (where $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$), and α be a contractive C^k -automorphism of G . We then call (G, α) a C^k -Lie contraction group. Having fixed k , a series (39) with each G_j an α -stable closed C^k -Lie subgroup of G is called an α -Lie series. We say that an α -Lie series is an α -Lie composition series if it cannot be refined further, or equivalently, if each G_j/G_{j-1} is a simple C^k -Lie contraction group in the sense that it is non-trivial and does not have a non-trivial, proper, α -stable, normal C^k -Lie subgroup.

As there is a bound on the lengths of α -Lie series, every α -Lie series can be refined to an α -Lie composition series. If $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$, one can show that

any two α -Lie series admit isomorphic refinements, and thus a Jordan-Hölder Theorem holds. In positive characteristic, this is not clear:

Problem 13.4 Does a Jordan-Hölder Theorem hold for α -Lie composition series over a local field $\mathbb{K}$ of positive characteristic, i.e., given two α -Lie composition series $\mathbf{1} = G_0 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_n = G$ and $\mathbf{1} = H_0 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft H_m = G$ of a Lie contraction group (G, α) over $\mathbb{K}$, will $n = m$ hold and will there exist a permutation π of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that G_j/G_{j-1} is isomorphic to $H_{\pi(j)}/H_{\pi(j)-1}$ as a C^k -Lie group for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, by isomorphisms which intertwine the respective contractive automorphisms of the quotient groups?

If $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$, then the simple Lie contraction groups are exactly the additive groups of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces, together with linear automorphisms without proper, non-trivial invariant vector subspaces (see the remarks preceding Problem 6.4 in [21]). If $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > 0$, it is known that every simple C^k -Lie contraction group with $k \geq 2$ is abelian (see [21]). It would be interesting to know more.

Problem 13.5 Is it possible to classify all simple Lie contraction groups over local fields of positive characteristic? Are all of these isomorphic to additive groups of vector spaces?

If (G, α) is a C^k -Lie contraction group over a local field $\mathbb{K}$ of positive characteristic and $k \geq 2$, then G is nilpotent (see Theorem 11.1). Because a second order Taylor expansion is used in the proof, it is not clear what happens for $k = 1$.

Problem 13.6 Is every C^1 -Lie contraction group over a local field of positive characteristic nilpotent?

Using the classification of the simple totally disconnected contraction groups mentioned before, one can show that the groups considered in Problem 13.6 are solvable [21, Theorem A]. But it is unclear how to prove nilpotency.

If each C^1 -Lie contraction group (G, α) would admit a compatible C^2 -Lie group structure for which α is C^2 , then Problem 13.6 would have an affirmative solution. In view of the pathologies described in 7.4, one would not expect that this is always possible, but counterexamples are not known.

Problem 13.7 Let $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$ such that $k < \ell$. Let G be a C^k -Lie group over a local field $\mathbb{K}$ of positive characteristic and α be a contractive

C^k -automorphism of G . Does G always admit a C^ℓ -Lie group structure which is C^k -compatible with the given C^k -Lie group structure (or at least with the given topological group structure)? If so, can we always achieve that α is C^ℓ with respect to the C^ℓ -Lie group structure?

If $\mathbb{K}$ is a local field of characteristic 0, then each finite-dimensional Lie algebra over $\mathbb{K}$, together with a contractive Lie algebra automorphism, gives rise to a $\mathbb{K}$ -analytic Lie group and a contractive automorphism thereof (see [21]). In the case of positive characteristic, the corresponding integrability problem is open. One would not expect a positive solution, but the author does not know a counterexample. For each $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, one can ask:

Problem 13.8 If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a local field $\mathbb{K}$ of positive characteristic and $\beta: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ a contractive Lie algebra automorphism, can we always find a C^k -Lie group G and a contractive C^k -automorphism $\alpha: G \rightarrow G$ such that $\mathfrak{g} = L(G)$ and $\beta = L(\alpha)$?

References

- [1] Abért, M., N. Nikolov and B. Szegedy, *Congruence subgroup growth of arithmetic groups in positive characteristic*, Duke Math. J. **117** (2003), 367–383.
- [2] Barnea, Y. and M. Larsen, *A non-abelian free pro- p group is not linear over a local field*, J. Algebra **214** (1999), 338–341.
- [3] Baumgartner, U. and G. A. Willis, *Contraction groups and scales of automorphisms of totally disconnected locally compact groups*, Israel J. Math. **142** (2004), 221–248.
- [4] Bertram, W., H. Glöckner and K.-H. Neeb, *Differential calculus over general base fields and rings*, Expo. Math. **22** (2004), 213–282.
- [5] Bhattacharjee, M. and D. MacPherson, *Strange permutation representations of free groups*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **74**, (2003), 267–285.
- [6] Bourbaki, N., “Variétés différentielles et analytiques. Fascicule de résultats,” Hermann, Paris, 1967.
- [7] Bourbaki, N., “Lie Groups and Lie Algebras” (Chapters 1–3), Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [8] Camina, R. and M. du Sautoy, *Linearity of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[t]]$ -perfect groups*, Geom. Dedicata **107** (2004), 1–16.
- [9] Dani S. G. and R. Shah, *Contraction subgroups and semistable measures on p -adic Lie groups*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **110** (1991), 299–306.
- [10] Dani, S. G., N. A. Shah and G. A. Willis, *Locally compact groups with dense orbits under $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions by automorphisms*, Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. **26** (2006), 1443–1465.
- [11] Dixon, J. D., M. P. F. du Sautoy, A. Mann and D. Segal, “Analytic pro- p Groups,” Cambridge Univ. Press, 1991.
- [12] du Sautoy, A. Mann and D. Segal, “New Horizons in Pro- p Groups,” Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000.
- [13] Glöckner, H., *Scale functions on p -adic Lie groups*, Manuscr. Math. **97** (1998), 205–215.

- [14] Glöckner, H., *Smooth Lie groups over local fields of positive characteristic need not be analytic*, J. Algebra **285** (2005), 356–371.
- [15] Glöckner, H., *Contraction groups for tidy automorphisms of totally disconnected groups*, Glasgow Math. J. **47** (2005), 329–333.
- [16] Glöckner, H., *Every smooth p -adic Lie group admits a compatible analytic structure*, Forum Math. **18** (2006), 45–84.
- [17] Glöckner, H., *Implicit functions from topological vector spaces to Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **155** (2006), 205–252.
- [18] Glöckner, H., *Aspects of p -adic non-linear functional analysis*, pp. 237–253 in: A. Yu. Khrennikov, Z. Rakić and I. V. Volovich (eds.), *p -Adic Mathematical Physics. 2nd International Conference (Belgrade, 2005)*, AIP Conference Proceedings **826**, Amer. Inst. Physics, New York, 2006 (see also arXiv:math/0602081).
- [19] Glöckner, H., *Locally compact groups built up from p -adic Lie groups, for p in a given set of primes*, J. Group Theory **9** (2006), 427–454.
- [20] Glöckner, H., *Finite order differentiability properties, fixed points and implicit functions over valued fields*, preprint, arXiv:math/0511218.
- [21] Glöckner, H., *Contractible Lie groups over local fields*, to appear in Math. Z. (cf. arXiv:math/0704.3737).
- [22] Glöckner, H., *Stable manifolds for dynamical systems over ultrametric fields*, manuscript in preparation.
- [23] Glöckner, H., *Pseudo-stable manifolds for dynamical systems over ultrametric fields*, manuscript in preparation.
- [24] Glöckner, H., *Scale functions on Lie groups over local fields of positive characteristic*, manuscript in preparation.
- [25] Glöckner, H., *Lie groups of type R over local fields and closedness of contraction groups*, in preparation.
- [26] Glöckner, H. and G. A. Willis, *Uniscalar p -adic Lie groups*, Forum Math. **13** (2001), 413–421.
- [27] Glöckner, H. and G. A. Willis, *Classification of the simple factors appearing in composition series of totally disconnected contraction groups*, preprint, arXiv:math/0604062.

- [28] Hazod, W. and S. Siebert, “Stable Probability Measures on Euclidean Spaces and on Locally Compact Groups,” Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [29] Hewitt, E. and K.A. Ross, “Abstract Harmonic Analysis, Vol. I,” Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [30] Irwin, M. C., *On the stable manifold theorem*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **2** (1970), 196–198.
- [31] Irwin, M. C., *A new proof of the pseudostable manifold theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. **21** (1980), 557–566.
- [32] Jacobson, N., “Basic Algebra II,” W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1989.
- [33] Jaikin-Zapirain, A., *On linearity of finitely generated R -analytic groups*, Math. Z. **253** (2006), 333–345.
- [34] Jaikin-Zapirain, A. and B. Klopsch, *Analytic groups over general pro- p domains*, J. London Math. Soc. **76** (2007), 365–383.
- [35] Kepert, A. and G. A. Willis, *Scale functions and tree ends*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **70** (2001), 273–292.
- [36] de la Llave, R. and C. E. Wayne, *On Irwin’s proof of the pseudostable manifold theorem*, Math. Z. **219** (1995), 301–321.
- [37] Lazard, M., “Groupes analytiques p -adiques”, Publ. Math. IHES **26** (1965), 5–219.
- [38] Lubotzky, A. and A. Shalev, *On some Λ -analytic groups*, Israel J. Math. **85** (1994), 307–337.
- [39] Margulis, G. A., “Discrete Subgroups of Semisimple Lie Groups,” Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [40] Palmer, T. W., “Banach Algebras and the General Theory of $*$ -Algebras, Vol. 2,” Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [41] Parreau, A., *Sous-groupes elliptiques de groupes linéaires sur un corps valué*, J. Lie Theory **13** (2003), 271–278.
- [42] Raja, C. R. E., *On classes of p -adic Lie groups*, New York J. Math. **5** (1999), 101–105.
- [43] Robert, A. M., “A Course in p -Adic Analysis,” Springer, New York, 2000.

- [44] Schikhof, W. H., “Ultrametric Calculus,” Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [45] Schur, F., *Zur Theorie der endlichen Transformationsgruppen*, Math. Ann. **38** (1891), 263–286; cf. JFM 23.0381.01
- [46] Serre, J.-P., “Lie Algebras and Lie Groups,” Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [47] Siebert, E., *Contractive automorphisms on locally compact groups*, Math. Z. **191** (1986), 73–90.
- [48] Siebert, E., *Semistable convolution semigroups and the topology of contraction groups*, pp. 325–343 in: H. Heyer (Ed.), “Probability Measures on Groups IX” (Oberwolfach 1988), 1989.
- [49] Wang, J. S. P., *The Mautner phenomenon for p -adic Lie groups*, Math. Z. **185** (1984), 403–412.
- [50] Weil, A., “Basic Number Theory,” Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [51] Wells, J. C., *Invariant manifolds of non-linear operators*, Pacific J. Math. **62** (1976), 285–293.
- [52] Willis, G. A., *The structure of totally disconnected, locally compact groups*, Math. Ann. **300** (1994), 341–363.
- [53] Willis, G. A., *Further properties of the scale function on a totally disconnected group*, J. Algebra **237** (2001), 142–164.
- [54] Wilson, J. S., “Profinite Groups,” Oxford University Press, 1998.

Helge Glöckner, Universität Paderborn, Institut für Mathematik, Warburger Str. 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany. E-Mail: glockner@math.upb.de